





	SETs. In two such studies, 16 background characteristics	learning, students' grades, and teaching effectiveness, so
	_	
_		
		-
	f	
_		
_		
_		•
	_	
(	dimensions but varied substantially depending on the	and related explanations of the expected-grade effect
	From Faur hasteround variables sould essent	HILLS ANITE ATTENDED TO THE STATE OF THE STA
}	4	
1		

ing expected grades of individual students seem not to reflect grading leniency so that deductions based on Greenwald	grading leniency would be negligible. For these reasons, we conclude that correcting for expected grades (instead of the intended torget grading leniency) actually aliminates valid
2 1 Ci 12 mary 12 (1007) mishin also samulations same largely	intended torget grading lanianov) notually aliminates valid
·	
4	
<del>-</del>	
	<del></del>
ativity and the second	
. Space	
	6
	<del>-</del>